

Let the Vandalism Begin: Australia's Adani Strikes Coal

By [Dr. Binoy Kampmark](#)

Asia-Pacific Research, June 30, 2021

Region: [Oceania](#)

Theme: [Environment](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@crg_globalresearch](#).

He began on [RN Breakfast](#) by claiming that he, and his company, would be open and transparent about mining operations. But Lucas Dow, chief executive of Adani's Australian operations, soon revealed in his June 25 interview that his understanding of transparency was rather far from the dictionary version. When asked how the Carmichael Coal Mine was getting its water, he claimed that these were from "legally regulated sources" and in commercial confidence. Businesses work like that, he stated forcefully, preferring to praise the company for it – and here, he meant no irony – its sound ecological credentials in solar energy and renewables.

The interview set the background for another sad chapter in the continued environmental renting of Australia. The company had found its first coal seam in Queensland's Galilee Basin. As the ABC [reported](#), it meant "the extraction of thermal coal at the 44,700-hectare site can begin." The head of the Indian Adani Group, billionaire Gautam Adani, was celebrating his 59th birthday. "There couldn't be a better birthday gift than being able to strengthen our nation's energy security and provide affordable power to India's millions," [tweeted](#) the delighted chairman.

Even as oil and gas giants [face court decisions and shareholder insurgencies](#) about not having sufficient, tenably projected plans to reduce emissions, Adani remains antiquated in its stubbornness. Its vandalising behaviour flies in the face of even such conservative, pro-fossil fuel defenders such as the International Energy Agency. In its May [report](#), the IEA noted, keeping in mind the target of a net zero emissions world by 2050, that more simply had to be done. Certainly, it argued, more could be done to meet 2030 targets. "Mandates and standards are vital to drive consumer spending and industry investment into the most efficient technologies. Targets and competitive auctions can enable wind and solar to accelerate the electricity sector transition. Fossil fuel subsidy phase-outs, carbon pricing and other market reforms can ensure appropriate price signals."

Such observations are distant siren calls for the Indian giant, whose Australian branch, swaddled in controversy, has gone for a rebrand. Well as it might. Adani's Carmichael Coal

project, originally proposed in 2010 by the Adani Group, has catalysed the [largest environmental protest movement](#) since the Franklin campaign of the 1980s.

Having no doubt hired a goodly number of public relations consultants, the company's rebadging as Bravus Mining and Resources suggests a stealthy deception. And it was as Bravus that success [was announced](#): "We have struck coal at Carmichael," came the headline in a June 24 company release.

The company CEO David Boshoff treated it as a matter of success in the face of opposition. "We have faced many hurdles along the way, but thanks to the hard work and perseverance of our team, we have now reached the coal seams." The CEO would even have you believe that Bravus was playing a humble servant to many noble causes. "The coal will be sold at index pricing and we will not be engaging in transfer pricing practices, which means that all our taxes and royalties will be paid here in Australia. India gets the energy they need and Australia gets the jobs and economic benefits in the process."

Boshoff is optimistic that Bravus will be able to export its first coal shipments in 2021. "We're on track to export [the] first coal this year, and despite reaching this significant milestone, we will not take our eyes off our larger goal of getting coal to the market." But do not worry, insists Bravus and the Adani Group: we have green credentials as well. Adani Green Energy Ltd (again, the PR consultants really have been working hard) had acquired SB Energy Holdings, which would see the company "achieve a total renewal energy capacity of 24.3GW." What the Carmichael coal project did was contribute to a "burgeoning energy portfolio designed to create a sustainable energy mix" of thermal power, solar power, wind power and gas.

There were a few glaring omissions of detail from the fanfare, both in Dow's interview and the company announcement. First came that pressing issue of water, one of its most scandalous features given the preciousness of that commodity on a water starved continent. The Queensland regulator notes that Adani has [but one viable source](#), what is described as "associated" groundwater, drawn from the Carmichael site itself.

In May, the company's North Galilee Water Scheme [fell foul](#) in the Federal Court, scuttling a pipeline project that would have supplied in the order of 12.5 billion litres a year from Queensland's Sutton River. The Court agreed with the Australian Conservation Foundation that the federal government had erred in law when the Environment Minister failed to apply the "water trigger" in assessing the Scheme. The quashing of the plan led the ACF's Chief Executive Officer Kelly O'Shanassy [to conclude](#) that, "Without the North Galilee Water Scheme, it's hard to see how Adani has enough water to operate its mine."

On water, as with much else, Bravus has adopted a policy of dissembling. In correspondence between an employee and Dow regarding a query by *Guardian Australia* on available aqueous sources, it was [suggested](#) "we do not give [the paper] anything more than what is already on the public record from us. They are clearly struggling to work out where we are getting our water, so I don't think we give them any further clarity." Dow approved of the measure.

There was also an absence of detail on the issue of the rail line, which is intended to link the mine to the Abbot Point coal terminal. Boshoff might well be confident about coal shipments this year, but the line is not, as yet, finished. That aspect of the project has also faced its share of problems, being accused of having a less than adequate approach to minimising

erosion. The Queensland state government, after investigating those claims, found in favour of Adani, [though it recommended](#) that “construction activities within waterways should not be undertaken during the wet season”.

The Friday interview with Dow was also marked by the usual numbing apologetics and justifications long deployed by the fossil fuel lobby. If we don't do it, others will. If we do not dig and exploit the deposits, Australians will miss out. Families will suffer. Coal remained a king with a firmly fastened crown, left un-threatened for decades. Precisely such a frame of mind is firmly fastened to the raft of dangerous unreality, and it is one that is sinking.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Dr. Binoy Kampmark was a Commonwealth Scholar at Selwyn College, Cambridge. He lectures at RMIT University, Melbourne. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research and Asia-Pacific Research. Email: bkampmark@gmail.com

Featured image is from Greenpeace

The original source of this article is Asia-Pacific Research
Copyright © [Dr. Binoy Kampmark](#), Asia-Pacific Research, 2021

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[Dr. Binoy Kampmark](#)**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). Asia-Pacific Research will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. Asia-Pacific Research grants permission to cross-post Asia-Pacific Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Asia-Pacific Research article. For publication of Asia-Pacific Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: editors@asia-pacificresearch.com

www.asia-pacificresearch.com contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: editors@asia-pacificresearch.com